	Case 3:10-cv-00749-ECR-VPC Document 25 Filed 06/08/11 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3 4	
5	
6	
7	LINUTED OF A TEC DICTRICT COURT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF NEVADA
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	BRIAN KAMEDULA, )
11	
12	Plaintiff, ) 3:10-cv-00749-ECR-VPC
13 14	vs. TODD DIXON, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	·
17	This is a prisoner action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 24, 2011, this
18	court dismissed this action for failure to state a claim for which relief may be granted (docket #19), and judgment was entered (docket #20). Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal on May 31, 2011 (docket #6).
19	On June 7, 2011, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals referred this matter to this court for
20	the limited purpose of determining whether <i>in forma pauperis</i> status should continue for the appeal or
21	whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith (docket #24). Accordingly, this court certifies that
22	any in forma pauperis appeal from its Order would not be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	§ 1915(a)(3). See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962); Gardner v. Pogue, 558 F.2d
25	548, 550 (9th Cir. 1977) (indigent appellant is permitted to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on appeal only
26	if appeal would not be frivolous).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this court CERTIFIES that any in forma pauperis appeal from its Order dated May 24, 2011 (docket #19) would not be taken "in good faith" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). DATED this 8th day of June 2011.